

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/649,270	CROWL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tuan A. Vu	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tuan A. Vu. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Fred Mollborn. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 31 March 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10, 13, 16 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative has been approached in order to effect some changes to the independent claims so to include some specific features of the invention deemed crucial to patentability as perceived by the Examiner. To this effect, some additional steps have been added and some descriptive elaboration has been suggested for amendment so to put forth the import of the recited 'containing scope' in view of the encoded program symbol. With that approach propagated to all independent claims, the claims would become in better shape for allowance, according to the agreement in the course of this contact..